

Robert W. Quinn, Jr. Federal Government Affairs Vice President Suite 1000 1120 20th Street NW Washington DC 20036 202 457 3851 FAX 202 457 2545

December 13, 2001

Via Electronic Filing
Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Proposed First Quarter 2002 Universal Service Contribution Factor

CC Docket No. 96-45

Dear Ms. Salas:

AT&T files these comments on the Commission's Proposed First Quarter 2002 Universal Service Contribution Factor announced in the Common Carrier Bureau's Public Notice, DA 01-2823, released December 7, 2001, in this docket. The Public Notice proposes a contribution factor of 6.8086%.

AT&T will need to assess its residential customers a universal service contribution of 11.5% commencing January 1, 2002, to recover its universal service fund ("USF") assessment under the proposed factor. This compares to AT&T's current USF line-item for residential customers of 9.9%, an increase of 160 basis points. The continued existence of a six-month lag between the assessment and recovery of carriers' universal service contributions, coupled with AT&T's sharply diminishing interstate and international telecommunications revenues, requires this precipitous increase in its residential line-item USF charge.

Because AT&T is highly concerned about the impact of an 11.5% factor on consumers, it proposes to refile its November 1, 2001 FCC Form 499Q (which displays AT&T's *actual* third quarter 2001 USF revenue contribution base) with a revised form based on *projected* revenues for the first quarter 2002, subject to subsequent true-up for actual revenues. AT&T would then submit its first quarter USF payments based on the revised Form 499Q, which would allow it to charge residential customers a 9.0% line-item charge.

Naturally, because AT&T's USF contribution base would change, this would impact the overall USF contribution base on which the Bureau computed the proposed first quarter 2002

factor. Accordingly, AT&T's use of projected revenues would require the Commission to revise that contribution factor upwards to account for the smaller overall contribution base. AT&T's 9% line-item charge to residential customers assumes for illustrative purposes that the assessment rate would increase by approximately 0.2% due to its use of projected revenues. If the Commission had adopted a USF assessment mechanism for the first quarter 2002 based on actual revenues (*i.e.*, no lag), the resulting AT&T and industry USF contributions would be the same as under AT&T's proposed projected revenue approach, assuming no projection errors. The true-up would serve to correct any projection errors, thus leaving all contributors in the same position as they would have been under a USF assessment based on actual revenues.

To the extent that a waiver is required to enable AT&T to use projected revenues for its Form 499Q, AT&T requests that a waiver of the Commission's rules be granted. Waiver of the Commission's rules is appropriate if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule and the public interest would be served by the grant. Those conditions are clearly met here. Despite its diminishing USF contribution base, AT&T serves over 50 million residential customers who would be impacted by the sharp increase in the level of AT&T's USF line-item recovery mechanism. AT&T has been working with the Commission for several years to eliminate the lag or to establish a per-line USF assessment and recovery mechanism. AT&T's customers should not be penalized with a rate increase of this magnitude because the lag continues to exist.

Respectfully yours,

Robert W. Zumn J.

Robert W. Quinn, Jr.

cc: Dorothy Attwood, Common Carrier Bureau Kyle Dixon, Legal Advisor to the Chairman

1

WAIT Radio v. FCC, 418 F.2d 1153, 1159 (D.C. Cir. 1969).